

From: Richard Arnot [<mailto:Richard.Arnot@wardhadaway.com>]
Sent: 09 February 2018 09:29
To: Figueiredo, Susana <Susana.Figueiredo@brent.gov.uk>
Subject: Our client COOP and Olympic Way, Wembley [WH-WH.FID2753631]

Hi Susana,

Further to our recent conversation, I attach an amended schedule of conditions for comment.

1. CCTV
I'm keen to always keep CCTV conditions simple. Technology advances so quickly that it's important that we try to future-proof licences. I have asked COOP's Retail Risk Manager for a summary of the specification for the system to be installed and I'll pass that on once I have it. The difficulty with referring to a third party document is that we could easily be in breach if, unbeknownst to us, that guidance changes. I have also attached, for information, the Institute of Licensing's draft guidance on conditions which makes the point.
2. ABV
I have expanded on your condition so that we have consistency with similar conditions agreed across London. I have also added a 'no single cans' condition.
3. Incident log
I have redrafted the condition so that it is clear that it applies only to alcohol related incidents.
4. Shutters
I have redrafted your version to remove 'staff'. As explained, staff need access to re-stock, stock take etc. Alcohol can't be sold outside of licensable times because the tills are time locked. Each product is barcoded and the till won't accept a sale outside of the permitted hours.
5. Training
Bearing in mind COOP's aspiration to be paperless, training records are kept electronically in Manchester. As a consequence, Data Protection considerations are relevant.

With respect to your 'clear and unobstructed view' condition, I think that it's too ambiguous and I'm still not entirely sure what purpose it serves. I note what you say about Central Square but that store closed 5 years ago. I acknowledge that the condition was agreed but that was in the days when discussions were undertaken by Area Risk Managers who may not have been entirely aware of the implications of a particular condition or the law underpinning conditions.

I have also included conditions relating to a 'Major Event'. I'm in discussion with Nicola and I anticipate that we'll find another way of defining 'Major Event'. The version I have proposed was agreed recently in respect of a store near to The Emirates Stadium

Let me have your thoughts.

Richard

.....

From: Figueiredo, Susana
Sent: 14 February 2018 13:39
To: 'Richard Arnot' <Richard.Arnot@wardhadaway.com>
Subject: Consult: Co-operative, 25 Olympic Way, HA9 0FR

Dear Richard,

Please find attached changes made to my initial representation.

I look forward to hearing from you soon

Kind Regards

Susana Figueiredo
Licensing Enforcement Officer
Planning, Transportation & Licensing
Brent Council